

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

NATHAN WOOD,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action: 3:23-cv-2789
	§	
	§	With Jury Demand Endorsed
EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC,	§	
EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS,	§	
Inc., TRANS UNION LLC, NATIONSTAR	§	
MORTGAGE LLC, BRANCH BANKING	§	
AND TRUST COMPANY dba TRUIST	§	
FINANCIAL, and FIRST NATIONAL BANK	§	
OF OMAHA,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

---

**COMPLAINT**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

Plaintiff, Nathan Wood (“Plaintiff”), by and through counsel, for his Complaint against Defendants, Equifax Information Services LLC, Experian Information Solutions, Inc., Trans Union LLC, Nationstar Mortgage LLC, Branch Banking & Trust Company dba Truist Financial, and First National Bank of Omaha jointly, severally, and in solido, states as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Three of the Defendants are consumer reporting agencies (“CRAs”) as defined by 15

U.S.C. § 1681a(f), and Defendants, Nationstar Mortgage LLC, Branch Banking & Trust Company dba Truist Financial, and First National Bank of Omaha are furnishers of consumer information. All Defendants have violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, known as the Fair Credit Reporting Act (the “FCRA”). Plaintiff seeks to recover from Defendants actual, statutory, and punitive damages, injunctive relief, legal fees, and expenses.

## II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Nathan Wood, is a natural person residing in Webster County, Missouri, and is a “consumer,” as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c), and is a victim of repeated false credit reporting.

### **Made Defendants herein are:**

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Equifax Information Services LLC, which may also hereinafter be referred to as “Equifax,” “Defendant,” “Defendants,” “CRA,” “CRA Defendant,” or “CRA Defendants” is a Georgia limited liability company that does substantial business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its headquarters, 1550 Peachtree Street, Northwest, Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Equifax is a nationwide consumer reporting agency (“CRA”) as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Equifax regularly engages in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purposes of furnishing “consumer reports” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) to third parties. Equifax disburses such consumer reports to third parties of contract for monetary compensation.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc., which may also hereinafter be referred to as “Experian,” “Defendant,” “Defendants,” “CRA,” or “CRA

Defendant,” or “CRA Defendants,” is an Ohio corporation that does business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its headquarters, 475 Anton Blvd., Costa Mesa, California 92626. Experian is a nationwide CRA as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Experian regularly engages in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purposes of furnishing “consumer reports” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) to third parties. Experian disburses such consumer reports to third parties of contract for monetary compensation.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Trans Union LLC, which may also hereinafter be referred to as “Trans Union”, “Defendant,” “Defendants,” “CRA,” “CRA Defendant,” or “CRA Defendants” is an Illinois limited liability company that does business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its headquarters, 555 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60681. Trans Union is a nationwide CRA as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Trans Union regularly engages in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purposes of furnishing “consumer reports” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) to third parties. Trans Union disburses such consumer reports to third parties of contract for monetary compensation.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC or Mr. Cooper, which may also hereinafter be referred to as “Nationstar,” “Defendant,” “Defendants,” “Furnisher Defendant,” or “Furnisher Defendants,” is a Delaware limited liability company that does substantial business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its Legal Department at its headquarters, 8950 Cypress Waters Blvd., Coppell, Texas 75019. Nationstar is a

“person,” as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b), and a furnisher of consumer credit information to consumer reporting agencies.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Branch Banking & Trust Company dba Truist Financial which may also hereinafter be referred to as “BB&T,” “Truist,” “BB&T/Truist” “BB&T d/b/a Truist,” “Defendant,” “Defendants,” “Furnisher Defendant,” or “Furnisher Defendants,” is a North Carolina Corporation, that does substantial business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its Legal Department at its headquarters, 200 Second St., Winston-Salem, NC 27101. BB&T/Truist is a “person,” as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b), and a furnisher of consumer credit information to consumer reporting agencies.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant First National Bank of Omaha which may also hereinafter be referred to as “FNB Omaha,” “Defendant,” “Defendants,” “Furnisher Defendant,” or “Furnisher Defendants,” is a Nebraska Corporation, that does substantial business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its Legal Department at its headquarters, 1620 Dodge St., Omaha, NE 68197. FNB Omaha is a “person,” as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b), and a furnisher of consumer credit information to consumer reporting agencies.

9. As used herein, “consumer reporting agency,” or “CRA,” means any person which, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports (commonly referred to as “credit reports”) to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce for the purpose of

preparing or furnishing consumer reports and is an entity in the business of collecting, maintaining and disseminating information regarding the credit-worthiness of individuals. CRAs specifically include, but are not limited to, Equifax, Experian, and TransUnion.

### **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. Plaintiff respectfully asserts that this Honorable Court has jurisdiction in this case arises under federal law. 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1334, and 1367 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(p). Plaintiff also asserts actions under states' laws which may be brought within the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court and Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court exercise supplemental jurisdiction over said claims. 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

11. Venue is proper in this District, because CRA Defendants and Nationstar transact business in this District. Nationstar's headquarters is located in this judicial district, a substantial part of the conduct complained of occurred in this district, and various actions made basis of Plaintiff's claims against Defendants occurred in the Northern District of Texas as further described. 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

12. Venue is further proper in this District, because CRA Defendants entered into agreements with Nationstar in this judicial district to receive credit reporting data concerning Plaintiff. Any and all requests to investigate Plaintiff's dispute(s) sent from the CRA Defendants as part of their reinvestigation was submitted to Nationstar's headquarters and investigated by the furnisher Nationstar using Nationstar's resources located at or closely connected to this judicial district. Nationstar managed Plaintiff's mortgage from this judicial district including communicating amounts owed and conducting numerous communications via phone and letter.

#### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. Upon information and belief, in or around February 2008 Plaintiff secured a mortgage for his property located at 7228 Lydia Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64131.

14. Sometime thereafter, Nationstar Mortgage LLC acquired Plaintiff's mortgage loan and assigned loan number 60141x, hereinafter ("Nationstar mortgage account").

15. Upon information and belief, in or around November 2015 Plaintiff secured a mortgage for his property located at 211 Spring Meadow Parkway, Branson, MO 65616.

16. Sometime thereafter, Branch Banking & Trust Company acquired Plaintiff's mortgage loan and assigned loan number 933699984x, hereinafter ("BB&T mortgage account").

17. Upon information and belief, in or around July 2016, Plaintiff opened a credit card account with First National Bank of Omaha ("FNB Omaha") under the account number 14882317747x, hereinafter ("FNB Omaha Account").

18. On November 10, 2017, Plaintiff filed for a Chapter 13 bankruptcy. Redacted copies of Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy docket report and voluntary petition are each attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B" respectively.

19. On July 24, 2018, Plaintiff Chapter 13 payment plan was confirmed. *See* Exhibit "A".

20. Read in concert, Sections 1322(a)(2), 1322(b)(5), and 1328(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, bar discharging home mortgage debts in a Chapter 13 Bankruptcy.

21. Throughout Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy, under direct or indirect order from the bankruptcy Trustee, timely monthly mortgage payments were made to the Nationstar and BB&T

mortgage accounts.

22. On July 13, 2020, Plaintiff was discharged from his chapter 13 bankruptcy, and excepted from discharge Plaintiff's Nationstar and BB&T Mortgage accounts. However, unlike the mortgage accounts, Plaintiff's unsecured FNB Omaha account was discharged and FNB Omaha is listed in the notice to creditors. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Discharge Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "C".

23. On August 7, 2020, Richard V. Fink, Trustee for Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy filed a Chapter 13 Standing Trustee's Final Report and Account and the FNB Omaha account is listed as "UNSECURED". A redacted copy of Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Trustee's Final Report and Account is attached hereto as Exhibit "D".

24. On or around September 8, 2020, Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy was terminated. *See* Exhibit "A".

25. Around the time of the bankruptcy discharge in 2020, both the Nationstar and BB&T mortgage accounts were paid in full because both properties were sold.

26. Sometime in July 2023, Plaintiff obtained his three-bureau credit report and noticed that the Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union credit report(s) were not accurate. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's three-bureau credit report is attached hereto as Exhibit "E".

27. Within the Equifax credit report Plaintiff noticed that it reported the BB&T mortgage account without the correct update that indicated that this secured debt was no longer part of the bankruptcy, as derogatory, as being in a wage earner plan and with references to the Chapter 13 Bankruptcy. The reporting is incorrect because Plaintiff complied with the terms of the chapter 13 bankruptcy plan, was successfully discharged—excepted the secured BB&T mortgage debt from

being discharged, therefore, any remarks and/or references to Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy should have been removed from the BB&T Mortgage tradelines after the Bankruptcy was discharged.<sup>1</sup>

28. Metro 2 guidelines require furnishers and CRAs to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account filed chapter 13 bankruptcy by first updating the Consumer Information Indicator ("CII") to "D", and then continuing to furnish the monthly payment history information with a value of "D". Metro 2 guidelines further require furnishers and CRAs to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account is discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy by updating the CII to "Q". In following these simple Metro 2 guidelines, which are well regarded as the industry standards, it prevents the reporting of any late payment history during the pendency of a consumer's chapter 13 Bankruptcy, and allows payments made by the consumer after the chapter 13 bankruptcy is discharged to be reported. Metro 2 guidelines are followed by both furnishers and CRAs.

29. Within the Experian credit report Plaintiff noticed that it reported the BB&T mortgage account without the correct update that indicated that this secured debt was no longer part of the bankruptcy, as potentially discharged through bankruptcy, as derogatory, as being in a wage earner plan and with references to the Chapter 13 Bankruptcy. The reporting is incorrect because Plaintiff complied with the terms of the chapter 13 bankruptcy plan, was successfully discharged—excepted the secured BB&T mortgage debt from being discharged, therefore, any remarks and/or

---

<sup>1</sup> The Consumer Data Industry Association's Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct consumer reporting agencies to remove any suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting for an account once the chapter 13 bankruptcy is discharged so that ongoing payments made by the consumer can be reported.



references to Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy should have been removed from the BB&T Mortgage tradelines after the Bankruptcy was discharged.<sup>2</sup>

30. Metro 2 guidelines require furnishers and CRAs to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account filed chapter 13 bankruptcy by first updating the Consumer Information Indicator ("CII") to "D", and then continuing to furnish the monthly payment history information with a value of "D". Metro 2 guidelines further require furnishers and CRAs to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account is discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy by updating the CII to "Q". In following these simple Metro 2 guidelines, which are well regarded as the industry standards, it prevents the reporting of any late payment history during the pendency of a consumer's chapter 13 Bankruptcy, and allows payments made by the consumer after the chapter 13 bankruptcy is discharged to be reported. Metro 2 guidelines are followed by both furnishers and CRAs.

31. Within the Trans Union credit report Plaintiff noticed that it reported both the Nationstar and BB&T mortgage accounts without the correct update that indicated that the secured debts were no longer part of the bankruptcy, as derogatory, as being in a wage earner plan and with references to the Chapter 13 Bankruptcy. The reporting is incorrect because Plaintiff complied with the terms of the chapter 13 bankruptcy plan, was successfully discharged—excepted the secured Nationstar and BB&T mortgage debts from being discharged, therefore, any remarks and/or references to Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy should have been removed from the Nationstar and

---

<sup>2</sup> The Consumer Data Industry Association's Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct consumer reporting agencies to remove any suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting for an account once the chapter 13 bankruptcy is discharged so that ongoing payments made by the consumer can be reported.

BB&T Mortgage tradelines after the Bankruptcy was discharged.<sup>3</sup>

32. Metro 2 guidelines require furnishers and CRAs to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account filed chapter 13 bankruptcy by first updating the Consumer Information Indicator (“CII”) to “D”, and then continuing to furnish the monthly payment history information with a value of “D”. Metro 2 guidelines further require furnishers and CRAs to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account is discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy by updating the CII to “Q”. In following these simple Metro 2 guidelines, which are well regarded as the industry standards, it prevents the reporting of any late payment history during the pendency of a consumer’s chapter 13 Bankruptcy, and allows payments made by the consumer after the chapter 13 bankruptcy is discharged to be reported. Metro 2 guidelines are followed by both furnishers and CRAs.

33. Plaintiff also noticed that within the Trans Union credit report, it reported the FNB Omaha account as being an open account. This reporting was incorrect because Plaintiff’s FNB Omaha credit account was listed in the petition, was discharged in Chapter 13 bankruptcy, and FNB Omaha was notified of the discharge. FNB Omaha was required to include remarks that the loan was discharged, and could not report that it was open because it made it appear that the debtor was liable on this discharged debt. .

34. On or about August 2023, Plaintiff sent direct disputes to Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union, and requested that the CRA Defendants investigate the reporting of the respective

---

<sup>3</sup> The Consumer Data Industry Association’s Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct consumer reporting agencies to remove any suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting for an account once the chapter 13 bankruptcy is discharged so that ongoing payments made by the consumer can be reported.

inaccurate accounts. Plaintiff requested that under the FCRA, each CRA Defendant conduct a reasonable investigation and/or remedy the inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit reports concerning the respective inaccurate accounts.

35. Within these dispute letters, Plaintiff described in great detail the issues and the misreporting following his bankruptcy and enclosed copies of either his bankruptcy docket report, trustee final report, and/or discharge order. Redacted copies of Plaintiff's unsigned dispute letters sent to Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union, are attached hereto as Exhibits "F", "G", and "H" respectively.

36. Equifax received Plaintiff's dispute on September 5, 2023. A redacted copy of the Certified Mail Receipt and Proof of Delivery for Plaintiff's Equifax Dispute Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "I".

37. Upon information and belief, Equifax did not respond to Plaintiff's dispute.

38. Plaintiff then obtained an updated copy of his three-bureau credit report on November 3, 2023 and within the Equifax credit report Plaintiff noticed that the BB&T tradeline changed derogatory to paid but still reported as being in a wage earner plan and with references to the Chapter 13 Bankruptcy despite being discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's November 3, 2023 Three-bureau Credit Report is attached hereto as Exhibit "J".

39. Equifax's responses, or lack thereof, were not the result of a reasonable investigation into Plaintiff's dispute(s) and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the BB&T tradeline and gave no explanation as to why it failed to sufficiently update the BB&T Mortgage tradeline when Plaintiff filed chapter 13 bankruptcy, complied with the requirements of the chapter 13 bankruptcy plan, and was successfully discharged.

40. Equifax's response(s) were not the result of reasonable investigations into Plaintiff's dispute(s) for they did not adequately evaluate or consider Plaintiff's information, claims, or evidence and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the BB&T Mortgage tradelines.

41. Plaintiff sent a very clear dispute, and yet Equifax made no changes to the disputed information, bankruptcy status, and/or account status.

42. Equifax chose to "verify" false information from an unreliable source, failed to correct the inaccurate information, and continued to publish the inaccurate information regarding Plaintiff's BB&T account.

43. Upon the Plaintiff's request to Equifax for verification and addition regarding the BB&T mortgage account, and in accordance with Equifax's standard procedures, Equifax did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence. Importantly, Equifax failed to maintain procedures which would ensure that, if any investigation took place, it would provide Plaintiff's with a response communicating the results. Further, Equifax did not make any attempt to substantially or reasonably verify the BB&T Mortgage account.

44. In the alternative, and in accordance with Equifax's standard procedures, Equifax failed to contact Truist, therefore, failed to perform any investigation at all.

45. In the alternative to the allegation that Equifax failed to contact Truist, it is alleged that Equifax did forward some notice of the dispute to Truist, and Truist failed to conduct a lawful investigation.

46. Experian received Plaintiff's dispute on September 1, 2023. A redacted copy of the Certified Mail Receipt and Proof of Delivery for Plaintiff's Experian Dispute Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "K".

47. Upon information and belief, Experian did not respond to Plaintiff's dispute.

48. Plaintiff then obtained an updated copy of his three-bureau credit report on November 3, 2023 and within the Experian credit report Plaintiff noticed that the BB&T tradeline continued to report the same inaccuracies despite being discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy. *See* Exhibit "J".

49. Experian's responses, or lack thereof, were not the result of a reasonable investigation into Plaintiff's dispute(s) and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the BB&T tradeline and gave no explanation as to why it failed to sufficiently update the BB&T tradeline when Plaintiff filed chapter 13 bankruptcy, complied with the requirements of the chapter 13 bankruptcy plan, and was successfully discharged.

50. Experian's responses were not the result of reasonable investigations into Plaintiff's dispute(s) for they did not adequately evaluate or consider Plaintiff's information, claims, or evidence and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the BB&T Mortgage tradelines.

51. Plaintiff sent very clear disputes, and yet Experian made no changes to the disputed information, bankruptcy status, and/or account status.

52. Experian chose to "verify" false information from an unreliable source, failed to correct the inaccurate information, and continued to publish the inaccurate information regarding Plaintiff's BB&T account.

53. Upon the Plaintiff's request to Experian for verification and addition regarding the BB&T mortgage account, and in accordance with Experian's standard procedures, Experian did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence. Importantly, Experian failed to maintain procedures which would ensure that, if any investigation took place, it would provide

Plaintiff with a response communicating the results. Further, Experian did not make any attempt to substantially or reasonably verify the BB&T Mortgage account.

54. In the alternative, and in accordance with Experian's standard procedures, Experian failed to contact Truist, therefore, failed to perform any investigation at all.

55. In the alternative to the allegation that Experian failed to contact Truist, it is alleged that Experian did forward some notice of the dispute to Truist, and Truist failed to conduct a lawful investigation.

56. Trans Union received Plaintiff's dispute on September 5, 2023. A redacted copy of the Certified Mail Receipt and Proof of Delivery for Plaintiff's Trans Union Dispute Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "L".

57. Upon information and belief, Trans Union did not respond to Plaintiff's dispute.

58. Plaintiff then obtained an updated copy of his three-bureau credit report on November 3, 2023 and within the Trans Union credit report Plaintiff noticed that the Nationstar tradeline was missing, presumably deleted rather than modified, and the BB&T and FNB Omaha tradelines continued to report the same inaccuracies despite being discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy. *See* Exhibit "J".

59. Trans Union's responses, or lack thereof, were not the result of a reasonable investigation into Plaintiff's dispute(s) and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha tradelines and gave no explanation as to why it failed to sufficiently update the Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha tradelines when Plaintiff filed chapter 13 bankruptcy, complied with the requirements of the chapter 13 bankruptcy plan, and was successfully discharged,

60. Plaintiff sent a very clear dispute(s), and yet Trans Union made no changes to the

disputed information, bankruptcy status, and/or account status.

61. Trans Union chose to “verify” false information from an unreliable source, failed to correct the inaccurate information, inappropriately deleted and continued to publish the inaccurate information regarding Plaintiff’s Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha accounts.

62. Upon the Plaintiff’s request to Trans Union for verification and addition regarding the Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha accounts, and in accordance with Trans Union’s standard procedures, Trans Union did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff’s information, claims or evidence. Importantly, Trans Union failed to maintain procedures which would ensure that, if any investigation took place, it would provide Plaintiff with a response communicating the results. Further, Trans Union did not make any attempt to substantially or reasonably verify the Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha accounts.

63. In the alternative, and in accordance with Trans Union’s standard procedures, Trans Union failed to contact Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha, therefore, failed to perform any investigation at all.

64. In the alternative to the allegation that Trans Union failed to contact Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha, it is alleged that Trans Union did forward some notice of the dispute to Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha, and Nationstar, BB&T, and FNB Omaha all failed to conduct a lawful investigation.

## **V. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF**

### **COUNT I – EQUIFAX’S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b))**

65. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

66. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files it published and maintained concerning the Plaintiff.

67. The FCRA mandates that “[w]henver a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible **accuracy** of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) (emphasis added).

68. Plaintiff furnished Equifax the necessary documentation supporting Plaintiff’s tradeline, yet Equifax continued to prepare a patently false consumer report concerning Plaintiff.

69. Despite actual and implied knowledge that Plaintiff’s credit reports were and are not accurate, Equifax readily provided false reports to one or more third parties, thereby misrepresenting Plaintiff, and ultimately Plaintiff’s creditworthiness.

70. After Equifax knew or should have known Plaintiff’s account status in relation to his bankruptcy was inaccurate, they failed to make the corrections

71. As a result of Equifax’s conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, denial in attempts to refinance, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

72. Equifax's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction, were negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.



73. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

**COUNT II – EQUIFAX'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681i)**

74. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

75. Equifax violated § 1681i by failing to update inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's credit files after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, failing to conduct a lawful reinvestigation, failing to forward all relevant information to furnisher(s), failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in the Plaintiff's credit files, and relying upon verification from a source it has reason to know is unreliable.

76. As a result of Equifax's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

77. Equifax's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

78. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

**COUNT III – EXPERIAN'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b))**

79. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

80. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files it published and maintained concerning the Plaintiff.

81. The FCRA mandates that “[w]henver a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible **accuracy** of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) (emphasis added).

82. Plaintiff furnished Experian the necessary documentation supporting Plaintiff’s tradeline, yet Experian continued to prepare a patently false consumer report concerning Plaintiff.

83. Despite actual and implied knowledge that Plaintiff’s credit reports were and are not accurate, Experian readily provided false reports to one or more third parties, thereby misrepresenting Plaintiff, and ultimately Plaintiff’s creditworthiness.

84. After Experian knew or should have known Plaintiff’s account status in relation to his bankruptcy was inaccurate, they failed to make the corrections.

85. As a result of Experian’s conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, denial in attempts to refinance, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

86. Experian's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In

the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction, were negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

87. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Experian in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

**COUNT IV – EXPERIAN'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681i)**

88. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

89. Experian violated § 1681i by failing to update inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's credit files after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, failing to conduct a lawful reinvestigation, failing to forward all relevant information to furnisher(s), failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in the Plaintiff's credit files, and relying upon verification from a source it has reason to know is unreliable.

90. As a result of Experian's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

91. Experian's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

92. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Experian in an

amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

**COUNT V – TRANS UNION’S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681e(b))**

93. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

94. Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files it published and maintained concerning the Plaintiff.

95. The FCRA mandates that “[w]henver a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible **accuracy** of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) (emphasis added).

96. Plaintiff furnished Trans Union the necessary documentation supporting Plaintiff’s tradeline, yet Trans Union continued to prepare a patently false consumer report concerning Plaintiff.

97. Despite actual and implied knowledge that Plaintiff’s credit reports were and are not accurate, Trans Union readily provided false reports to one or more third parties, thereby misrepresenting Plaintiff, and ultimately Plaintiff’s creditworthiness.

98. After Trans Union knew or should have known Plaintiff’s account status in relation to his bankruptcy was inaccurate, they failed to make the corrections. Further, Plaintiff did not request for the Nationstar mortgage tradeline be deleted.

99. As a result of Trans Union’s conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, denial in attempts to refinance, loss in ability to finance

goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

100. Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction, were negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

101. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

**COUNT VI – TRANS UNION'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681i)**

102. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

103. Trans Union violated § 1681i by failing to update inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's credit files after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, failing to conduct a lawful reinvestigation, failing to forward all relevant information to furnisher(s), failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in the Plaintiff's credit files, and relying upon verification from a source it has reason to know is unreliable.

104. As a result of Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

105. Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent

entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

106. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

**COUNT VII – NATIONSTAR’S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b))**

107. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

108. Defendant Nationstar violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct reasonable investigations upon receiving notice of Plaintiff’s dispute(s) from one or more consumer reporting agencies, and/or failing to appropriately report the results of their investigations, and/or failing to appropriately modify the information.

109. Nationstar further violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by continuing to report the Nationstar representation within Plaintiff’s credit files with the CRA Defendants without also including a notation that this debt was disputed, failing to fully and properly investigate the Plaintiff’s dispute(s) of the Nationstar representation, failing to accurately respond to the CRA Defendants, failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to every other consumer reporting agency, and failing to permanently and lawfully correct its own internal records to prevent the re-reporting of the Nationstar representations to the consumer reporting agencies.

110. As a result of Nationstar’s conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

111. Nationstar's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

**COUNT VIII – TRUIST'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b))**

112. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

113. Defendant Truist violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct reasonable investigations upon receiving notice of Plaintiff's dispute(s) from one or more consumer reporting agencies, and/or failing to appropriately report the results of their investigations, and/or failing to appropriately modify the information.

114. Truist further violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by continuing to report the BB&T/Truist representation within Plaintiff's credit files with the CRA Defendants without also including a notation that this debt was disputed, failing to fully and properly investigate the Plaintiff's dispute(s) of the BB&T/Truist representation, failing to accurately respond to the CRA Defendants, failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to every other consumer reporting agency, and failing to permanently and lawfully correct its own internal records to prevent the re-reporting of the BB&T/Truist representations to the consumer reporting agencies.

115. As a result of Truist's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.

116. Truist's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

**COUNT IX – FNB OMAHA'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA  
(15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b))**

117. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

118. Defendant FNB Omaha violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct reasonable investigations upon receiving notice of Plaintiff's dispute(s) from one or more consumer reporting agencies, and/or failing to appropriately report the results of their investigations, and/or failing to appropriately modify the information.

119. FNB Omaha further violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by continuing to report the FNB Omaha representation within Plaintiff's credit files with the CRA Defendants without also including a notation that this debt was disputed, failing to fully and properly investigate the Plaintiff's dispute(s) of the FNB Omaha representation, failing to accurately respond to the CRA Defendants, failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to every other consumer reporting agency, and failing to permanently and lawfully correct its own internal records to prevent the re-reporting of the FNB Omaha representations to the consumer reporting agencies.

120. As a result of FNB Omaha's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss in ability to finance goods, loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from a credit, and suffering the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials.



121. FNB Omaha's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

## **VI. VICARIOUS LIABILITY/RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR**

122. Plaintiff will be able to show, after reasonable discovery, that all actions at issue were taken by employees, agents, servants, or representatives, of any type, for Defendants, the principals, within the line and scope of such individuals' (or entities') express or implied authority, through employment, agency, or representation, which imputes liability to Defendants for all such actions under the doctrine of respondeat superior and/or vicarious liability.

## **VII. DAMAGES**

123. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court instruct the jury, as the trier of facts, that in addition to actual or compensatory damages, punitive or exemplary damages may be awarded against the Defendants under the provisions of the FCRA and/or states' laws, including Texas.

124. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award Plaintiff his litigation expenses and other costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees incurred in this litigation, in accordance with the provisions of the FCRA and/or other laws.

125. The above and foregoing actions, inactions, and fault of Defendants, as to each and every claim, have proximately caused a wide variety of damages to Plaintiff.

126. Defendants performed perfunctory and essentially useless reinvestigations resulting in the verification of false reportings about the Plaintiff and have been a substantial factor in causing credit denials and other damages.

127. Plaintiff suffered a variety of damages, including economic and non-economic damages as prayed for herein.

128. Defendants have negligently and/or willfully violated various provisions of the FCRA and are thereby liable unto Plaintiff.

129. Defendants are liable unto Plaintiff for all actual, statutory, exemplary and punitive damages awarded in this case, as well as other demands and claims asserted herein including, but not limited to, out-of-pocket expenses, credit denials, costs and time of repairing their credit, pain and suffering, embarrassment, inconvenience, lost economic opportunity, loss of incidental time, frustration, emotional distress, mental anguish, fear of personal and financial safety and security, attorney's fees, and court costs, and other assessments proper by law and any and all other applicable federal and state laws, together with legal interest thereon from date of judicial demand until paid.

**WHEREFORE PREMESIS CONSIDERED**, Plaintiff, Nathan Wood, pray that this Honorable Court:

A. Enter Judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendants Equifax Information Services LLC, Experian Information Solutions, Inc., Trans Union LLC, and Nationstar Mortgage LLC, Branch Banking & Trust Company dba Truist Financial, and First National Bank of Omaha, jointly, severally, and in solido, for all reasonable damages sustained by Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, actual damages, compensatory damages, out-of-pocket expenses, credit denials, costs and

time of repairing their credit, pain and suffering, embarrassment, inconvenience, lost economic opportunity, loss of incidental time, frustration, emotional distress, mental anguish, and fear of personal and financial safety and security for Defendants' violations of the FCRA, applicable state law, and common law;

B. Find that the appropriate circumstances exist for an award of punitive damages to Plaintiff;

C. Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law;

D. Order that the CRA Defendants, Equifax Information Services LLC, Experian Information Solutions, Inc., and Trans Union LLC, and Furnisher Defendants, Nationstar Mortgage LLC, Branch Banking & Trust Company dba Truist Financial, and First National Bank of Omaha, work in conjunction, cooperatively, and/or individually to reinvestigate and correct the consumer report(s), credit report(s), data emanations, consumer histories, and credit histories of and concerning Plaintiff and/or any of Plaintiff's personal identifiers.

E. Grant such other and further relief, in law or equity, to which Plaintiff might show he is justly entitled.

Date Filed: December 19, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew P. Forsberg  
Matthew P. Forsberg  
TX State Bar No. 24082581  
[Matt@FieldsLaw.com](mailto:Matt@FieldsLaw.com)  
FIELDS LAW FIRM

9999 Wayzata Blvd.  
Minnetonka, Minnesota 55305  
(612) 383-1868 (telephone)  
(612) 370-4256 (fax)

**LAW OFFICE OF JONATHAN A. HEEPS**

/s/ Jonathan A. Heeps .  
Jonathan A. Heeps  
TX State Bar No. 24074387  
LAW OFFICE OF JONATHAN A. HEEPS  
Post Office Box 174372  
Arlington, Texas 76003  
Telephone (682) 738-6415  
Fax (844) 738-6416  
[jaheeps@heepsllaw.com](mailto:jaheeps@heepsllaw.com)

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

December 19, 2023

Date

/s/ Matthew P. Forsberg

Matthew P. Forsberg